

1 JAMES L. JACOBS, State Bar No. 158277
ROBERT W. LUCKINBILL, State Bar No. 131977
2 GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP
1891 Landings Drive
3 Mountain View, CA 94043
Telephone: (650) 428-3900
4 Facsimile: (650) 428-3901

5 Attorneys for Defendant
DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 PALPILOT INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 DRS TACTICAL SYSTEMS, INC., a
16 Florida corporation, and DOES 1 to 10,
inclusive

17 Defendant
18

No. C07 02481 RS

STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME
WITHIN WHICH TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

[Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1 (a)]

19 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Palpilot International Corporation ("Palpilot") initially
20 commenced this action in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of Santa
21 Clara, as Case Number 107CV0833091;

22 WHEREAS, Defendant DRS Tactical Systems, Inc. ("DRS Tactical") filed a Notice
23 Of Removal Of Action Pursuant To 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) ("Notice of Removal") with the
24 United States District Court for the Northern District of California on May 8, 2007;

25 WHEREAS, the deadline for DRS Tactical to answer or otherwise respond to the
26 Complaint ("Complaint") is May 16, 2007;
27
28

1 WHEREAS, the deadline for Palpilot to file a motion to remand, pursuant to U.S.C.
2 § 1447(c), is 30 days after the filing of the Notice of Removal, or June 7, 2007;

3 WHEREAS, the parties are presently exploring the informal exchange of
4 information in an effort to determine whether an early resolution of the dispute can be
5 reached and agree that they would like to minimize the costs of the litigation pending those
6 efforts;

7 WHEREAS, the parties wish to extend the deadline for DRS Tactical to answer or
8 otherwise respond to the Complaint and for Palpilot to file its Notice of Removal should it
9 choose to do so pending the parties' efforts to informally exchange information;

10 WHEREAS, the parties agree that the contemplated extension of this deadline will
11 not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order in this matter.

12 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE
13 PARTIES HERETO THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AS FOLLOWS:


14 1. DRS Tactical shall have until May 28, 2007 to answer or otherwise respond
15 to the Complaint.

16 2. Palpilot shall have until June 18, 2007 to file a motion to remand pursuant
17 to U.S.C. § 1447(c).

18 3. Counsel for DRS Tactical hereby attests that the concurrence of Mr. Lew,
19 counsel for Palpilot, to file this Stipulation has been obtained.

20
21 Dated: May 14, 2007

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP

22
23
24 
James L. Jacobs

25 Attorneys for Defendant
26 DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.
27
28

1 Dated: May 11, 2007

STEPHEN K. LEW, PROF. LAW CORP.



Stephen K. Lew

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Palpilot International Corporation

28 Stipulation to Extend Time

-3-